





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/652,201 | 08/31/2000 | Anton Ephanov | 0655/62549 | 2659 |
| 7: | 590 07/26/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Richard F Jaworski | | | CUNNINGHAM, GREGORY F | |
| Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| New York, NY 10036 | | | 2676 | 11 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/652,201 | EPHANOV, ANTON | 1 |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Greg Cunningham | 2676 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper repl ch places the applica | ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible in the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible in the calculated from: (3) the calculated from: (3) the calculated from: (4) the expiration date of (3) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official field in the calculated from: (3) the calculated from: (4) the expiration date of (4) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official field in the calculated from: (4) the calculated from: (5) the calculated from: (6) the calculated from: (7) the expiration date of (7) and (8) the calculated from: (8) the calculated from: (9) the calculated from: (9) the calculated from: (9) the calculated from: (9) the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (1) the calculated from: (1) the calculated fro | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 Cl of extension and the corresponding arm the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the ma | ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the final the fi | on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 July 2004</u> . Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | - | in |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | / NOTE | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | | (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | • | - 1 - 11 - 1 | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelNOTE: . | ng a corresponding number of | finally rejected claim | S. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | idered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were | e newly |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | • • • | - | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr | roved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | . / |
| 10. Other: | Mout | C. Bel | |
| J.F. Commishours, Examines | SUPE | MATTHEW C. BELLARVISORY PATENT EXA | MINED |
| . Patent and Trademark Office | ······································ | CHNOLOGY CENTER 2 | 2600 |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)